UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,512	03/30/2004	John Anderson Campbell	EMC04-04(04029)	3214
58404 BARRY W. CH	7590 12/31/200 IAPIN	EXAMINER		
CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK 1700 WEST PARK DRIVE, SUITE 280		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTBOROU	GH, MA 01581		2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,512	CAMPBELL, JOHN ANDERSON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164		

	Salifyallarayali Fallilala	2104	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the second sec	r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7-12 and 22-27</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,13-21 and 28-37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	h hafana an an tha data of filing a Nia		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Sathyanarayan Panna Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claims 1,4-7,0,16,18,20-23,25. This amendment changed the scope of claims and may require search for prior art as well as further consideration is required. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-6,13-21 and 26-37 were rejected using the prior art on record, For example, calim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as: Zahavi teaches a data management and archive method and apparatus, such as for implementation in an automated system to monitor and manage status, performance and configuration data for a plurality of networked storage components (Applicant claimed collection agents job are done by collection manager, element 38, Fig. 3, col. 4, lines 63-67). Zahavi teaches the claimed, receiving a data collection policy to identify storage information to be collected concerning a set of storage entities in the storage area network environment (Fig. 3, col. 5, lines 61-65, collection manager, element 38 receives collection policy). Zahavi teaches the claimed, collecting storage information concerning the set of storage entities according to the data collection policy (Fig. 3, col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 2).

Zahavi does not explicitly teach producing a summary report on storage. However, Therrien teaches the claimed, processing the collected storage information to produce at least one summary record of the storage information for the set of storage entities, the at least one summary record containing a summary of the collected storage information for the set of storage entities calculated according to the data collection policy and transferring the at least one summary record from the data collection agent to a storage management application for presentation to a user of the storage management application (Fig. 8, page 6, paragraph [0067]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to combine the teachings of the cited references because Therrien's teachings would have allowed Zahavi's method of storage resources sharing by many applications in a secured manner would increase overall utilization to 80-90% (page 1, paragraph [0006]).

Zahavi also teaches other limitations of claim 16 are: a network interface and a storage interface (Fig.2, col. 4, lines 61-65), a processor and a memory system (Fig.2, col. 5, lines 1-5). Interconnection mechanism (Fig.2).

Zahavi also teaches other limitations of claim 31 are: collection policy for use by a data collection agent, which is one of multiple data collection agents operating in a storage area network environment (Applicant claimed collection agents job are done by collection manager, element 38, Fig. 3, col.5, line 65 to col. 6, line 1), configured to receive input from the multiple data collection agents (Applicant claimed collection agents job are done by collection manager, element 38, Fig. 3, col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 2).

Zahavi also teaches other limitations of claim 32 are: (Applicant claimed collection agents job are done by collection manager, element 38, Fig. 3, col.5, line 65 to col. 6, line 1). Wherein receiving the data collection policy includes receiving the data collection policy for use by the data collection agent to identify the storage information to be collected (Fig. 1, 3, col. 6, lines 38-55) and wherein processing the collected storage information to produce the summary record of the storage information includes performing at least one calculation on the collected storage information at the data collection agent, the at least one calculation performed according to the data collection policy received by the data collection agent (Fig. 1, 7, col. 7, line 28-32).

Zahavi also teaches other limitations of claim 35 are: (Applicant claimed collection agents job are done by collection manager, element 38, Fig. 3, col.5, line 65 to col. 6, line 1). Wherein receiving the data collection policy from the storage management application, based on receipt of the data collection policy from the storage management application, configuring the data collection agent as one of multiple software agents, each of which, collects data from resources in the storage area network, produces a summary report, and forwards the summary report to the storage management application (Fig. 1, 11, col. 10, line 41-53). Wherein processing the collected storage information includes substantially reducing an amount of the data collected by the data collection agent into corresponding statistical information for inclusion in the summary record (Fig.1, 11, col. 10, line 23-35).